

How Early Adversity Can Lead to Student Mental Health, Behavior, and Learning Problems

Many children experience significant adversity early in life. Such experiences may include abuse, neglect, family conflict, poverty, community violence, parental mental illness, chronic stress, loss, or unstable caregiving. Research consistently shows that early life adversity is associated with increased risk for a broad range of mental health, behavioral, and learning difficulties.

Traditionally, the problems have been viewed in pathological terms emphasizing separate disorders and interventions. However, despite manifesting different “symptoms”, growing evidence suggests that many of these difficulties may reflect a common developmental process triggered by early adversity. Rather than producing a specific disorder, adversity may disrupt shared developmental systems, giving rise to a common developmental cascade in which emotional, behavioral, and learning problems emerge as interconnected challenges that affect how children learn, behave, and function in school.

About the Developmental Cascade

In schools, adversity-related problems often do not appear as isolated difficulties. Instead, challenges in emotion regulation, behavior, learning, and social functioning tend to influence one another over time, creating a developmental cascade that can interfere with both well-being and academic success. A simplified framing of the cascade consists of five interconnected stages.

1. *Adversity Becomes Biologically Embedded* – Early adversity can alter the body's stress-regulation systems. Research suggests that chronic exposure to stress during childhood may affect how stress-response genes are expressed and how the brain adapts to environmental demands. These biological changes do not determine a child's future, but they can create increased vulnerability to later difficulties.

2. *Stress-Response Systems Become Dysregulated* – Three major systems may become disrupted:

- The body's hormonal stress-response system
- The autonomic nervous system that regulates arousal and vigilance
- Neuroimmune processes involved in inflammation and stress responses

As a result, children may become overly reactive to stress, remain constantly alert for danger, or have difficulty returning to a calm state after a challenge.

3. *Brain Networks Are Affected* – Prolonged stress may influence the development of brain systems responsible for:

- Emotion regulation
- Reward and motivation
- Attention and executive functioning
- Learning and memory

Children may therefore experience changes in how they process emotions, respond to rewards, focus attention, and solve problems.

*Material for this document was generated by Artur Khachatryan as a participant with the national Center for MH in Schools & Student/Learning Supports at UCLA in 2026.

The center is co-directed by Howard Adelman and Linda Taylor and operates under the auspices of the School Mental Health Project, Dept. of Psychology, UCLA. Website: <https://smhp.psych.ucla.edu/>

4. *Common Difficulties Emerge* – Three recurring patterns often appear across many forms of psychopathology:

- Emotion Dysregulation
 - >Strong emotional reactions
 - >Difficulty calming down
 - >Heightened sensitivity to perceived threats or rejection
- Reward-Processing Difficulties
 - >Reduced motivation
 - >Difficulty experiencing success as rewarding
 - >Increased risk-taking or impulsive reward-seeking
- Executive Function Deficits
 - >Problems with attention
 - >Weak working memory
 - >Difficulty planning, organizing, and controlling impulses

These problems are found across many diagnostic categories rather than being unique to any one disorder.

5. *Different Outcomes Develop* – Not all children exposed to adversity follow the same path. Outcomes are influenced by:

- The age at which adversity occurs
- Individual genetic factors
- Family relationships
- Social supports
- School experiences
- Community conditions

As a result, one child may develop anxiety, another attention problems, another sadness, and another may show no diagnosable disorder. The same adversity can lead to different outcomes, and different adversities can lead to similar outcomes.

Implications for Diagnostic Practices

The developmental cascade from early life adversity to later emotional, behavioral, and learning problems has important implications for how difficulties are identified, understood, and addressed. Traditional diagnostic systems tend to categorize problems into separate disorders and rely heavily on symptom checklists. While such approaches can facilitate communication and service eligibility, they tend not to capture the interconnected nature of students' difficulties and the underlying factors that contribute to them.

Prevailing Disorder Diagnoses Can Be Misleading

Many students exposed to significant adversity do not present with symptoms that fit neatly into a single diagnostic category. Instead, they often exhibit overlapping problems related to anxiety, unhappiness, attention lapses, misbehavior, learning challenges, and difficulties with social relationships. As a result, students may receive multiple diagnoses concurrently and over time.

A transdiagnostic perspective suggests that practitioners should focus not only on identifying students' presenting problems but also on understanding the developmental pathways, underlying mechanisms, and environmental circumstances that contributed to those problems. Toward this end, reciprocal determinism views an individual's problems as emerging from ongoing transactions between the person and the environment. Although these influences are reciprocal, environmental conditions may play a predominant role in initiating and maintaining difficulties for some students, whereas personal factors (e.g., psychological or biological characteristics) may be more influential for others.

Moving Beyond Current Diagnostic Practices

A transdiagnostic perspective does not eliminate the value of diagnostic categories; rather, it places them within a broader framework for understanding a student's functioning. Instead of asking only, "What disorder does this student have?" practitioners also ask, "What experiences, conditions, and processes contributed to the development of these problems, and what factors currently maintain them?"

This requires assessment practices that examine the interplay among environmental conditions, individual characteristics, and observable behavior. Such assessments explore the student's history of adversity and stressors, current supports and barriers, learning experiences, developmental strengths, coping resources, and family, school, and community contexts. The focus is on identifying the factors that contribute to the student's current difficulties as well as the protective factors that can support positive change.

From this perspective, diagnostic labels are viewed as descriptive rather than explanatory. A diagnosis may provide a summary of a cluster of symptoms, but it does not explain why the symptoms emerged, how they became interconnected, or what changes are needed to improve functioning. Effective intervention planning therefore requires moving beyond labels to develop an understanding of the pathways that led to the student's difficulties.

Such an approach is particularly important for students affected by adversity. Their emotional, behavioral, and learning problems often reflect adaptive responses to challenging circumstances rather than separate disorders requiring separate interventions. Understanding these interconnected responses can reduce overdiagnosis, minimize fragmented services, and encourage cohesive systems of support that address both students' needs and the conditions that contribute to those needs.

Comprehensive diagnostic assessments generally include examination of a student's developmental history, especially adverse childhood experiences and other sources of chronic stress. This includes family instability, exposure to violence, neglect, discrimination, homelessness, food insecurity, and persistent school failure. Comprehensive assessments also should draw information from diverse sources and settings, including students, families, teachers, and direct observations across situations. Many behaviors commonly interpreted as symptoms of distinct disorders may reflect adaptations to chronic adversity and trauma that vary in different settings.

Traditional diagnostic categories can be helpful for communication and service eligibility, but they often do not explain the factors that gave rise to a student's difficulties or indicate the most effective interventions. One approach used in schools to better understand the nature and scope of students' problems is Response to Intervention (RtI). This approach assesses students' needs by examining how they respond to systematically implemented interventions. RtI emphasizes early identification, provision of increasingly intensive supports, and systematic monitoring of students' responses to intervention. Information gathered through this process can help determine not only the severity of a problem but also the conditions under which it improves or persists, thereby contributing to a more functional understanding of student needs and the contexts in which those needs arise.

Implications for School Practice

Adversity creates significant barriers to both learning and teaching. When students must devote substantial attention to monitoring their environment for potential threats, fewer cognitive and emotional resources remain available for engaged learning.

A transdiagnostic perspective highlights that students with different diagnoses often experience similar underlying difficulties. These common challenges may involve emotional regulation, attention and self-regulation, motivation, executive functioning, and social relationships. Focusing on such shared difficulties can help schools design interventions that address underlying causes and common barriers to learning rather than responding only to isolated symptoms.

Students affected by adversity commonly experience:

- Difficulty concentrating and sustaining attention
- Impaired working memory
- Reduced cognitive flexibility
- Heightened emotional reactivity
- Increased vigilance to perceived threats
- Problems with motivation, persistence, and engagement

These difficulties may be misinterpreted as a lack of effort, defiance, poor attitude, or intentional misconduct. In many instances, however, they represent adaptive responses to chronic stress and adverse experiences rather than deliberate noncompliance.

Because such problems arise from reciprocal transactions between individuals and their environments, no single intervention is likely to be sufficient. Effective support requires attention to both environmental conditions and individual needs. In schools, this includes a continuous focus on creating safe, supportive, engaging, and equitable learning environments in which students feel connected to peers and adults. It also requires approaches that are personalized and provide accommodations, special assistance, and additional learning supports when needed.

For strategies that address barriers to learning and teaching, see:

> *Addressing Barriers to Learning: In the Classroom and Schoolwide*
<https://smhp.psych.ucla.edu/pdfdocs/barriersbook.pdf>

Ultimately, a transdiagnostic perspective encourages educators and other student-support professionals to view many emotional, behavioral, and learning difficulties as interconnected outcomes of developmental processes shaped by ongoing interactions between students and their environments. This perspective underscores that many problems associated with adversity are not inevitable. It also highlights the importance of developing a unified, comprehensive, and equitable system of student/learning supports that addresses barriers to learning while promoting healthy development. To the extent that adverse conditions are prevented or effectively addressed, the developmental pathways leading to later learning, behavioral, and mental health problems can be weakened or interrupted.

Concluding Comments

Growing evidence suggests that many emotional, behavioral, and learning problems are linked through common developmental pathways associated with early adversity. Understanding these pathways can help schools move beyond viewing problems as separate disorders and instead focus on the underlying barriers that interfere with healthy development and learning.

A transdiagnostic perspective highlights the importance of early intervention, trauma-informed practice, and comprehensive systems of student and learning supports. When schools recognize how adversity affects development, they are better positioned to reduce learning barriers, strengthen student resilience, and improve well-being, school success, and mental health outcomes.

References Used in Developing this Resource

- Adelman, H. S., & Taylor, L. (2020). *Embedding mental health as schools change*. Center for MH in Schools & Student/Learning Supports. UCLA. <https://smhp.psych.ucla.edu/pdfdocs/mh20a.pdf>
- Conway, C. C., Raposa, E. B., Hammen, C., & Brennan, P. A. (2018). Transdiagnostic pathways from early social stress to psychopathology: a 20-year prospective study. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines*, 59(8), 855–862. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12862>
- Gee D. G. (2021). Early Adversity and Development: Parsing Heterogeneity and Identifying Pathways of Risk and Resilience. *American journal of psychiatry*, 178(11), 998–1013. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2021.21090944>
- Hanson, J. L., van den Bos, W., Roeber, B. J., Rudolph, K. D., Davidson, R. J., & Pollak, S. D. (2017). Early adversity and learning: implications for typical and atypical behavioral development. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines*, 58(7), 770–778. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12694>
- Koss, K. J., & Gunnar, M. R. (2018). Annual Research Review: Early adversity, the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis, and child psychopathology. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines*, 59(4), 327–346. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12784>
- McLaughlin, K. A., & Gabard-Durnam, L. (2022). Experience-driven plasticity and the emergence of psychopathology: A mechanistic framework integrating development and the environment into the Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) model. *Journal of psychopathology and clinical science*, 131(6), 575–587. <https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000598>
- Michellini, G., Palumbo, I. M., DeYoung, C. G., Latzman, R. D., & Kotov, R. (2021). Linking RDoC and HiTOP: A new interface for advancing psychiatric nosology and neuroscience. *Clinical psychology review*, 86, 102025. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2021.102025>
- Norman, R. E., Byambaa, M., De, R., Butchart, A., Scott, J., & Vos, T. (2012). The long-term health consequences of child physical abuse, emotional abuse, and neglect: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS medicine*, 9(11), e1001349. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001349>
- Nusslock, R., & Miller, G. E. (2016). Early-Life Adversity and Physical and Emotional Health Across the Lifespan: A Neuroimmune Network Hypothesis. *Biological psychiatry*, 80(1), 23–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.05.017>
- O'Gorman, E. T., & Meyer, G. J. (2025). Developmental cascades from early childhood attachment security to adolescent level of personality functioning among high-risk youth. *Development and psychopathology*, 37(3), 1200–1213. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579424001044>
- Snyder, H. R., Friedman, N. P., & Hankin, B. L. (2019). Transdiagnostic mechanisms of psychopathology in youth: Executive functions, dependent stress, and rumination. *Cognitive therapy and research*, 43(5), 834–851. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-019-10016-z>
- Snyder, H. R., Miyake, A., & Hankin, B. L. (2015). Advancing understanding of executive function impairments and psychopathology: bridging the gap between clinical and cognitive approaches. *Frontiers in psychology*, 6, 328. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00328>
- Teicher, M. H., & Samson, J. A. (2016). Annual Research Review: Enduring neurobiological effects of childhood abuse and neglect. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines*, 57(3), 241–266. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12507>
- Tyrka, A. R., Ridout, K. K., & Parade, S. H. (2016). Childhood adversity and epigenetic regulation of glucocorticoid signaling genes: Associations in children and adults. *Development and psychopathology*, 28(4pt2), 1319–1331. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579416000870>